

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 06/30/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/533,433 05/02/2005		Richard Michael Jenkins	124-1114	6067	
23117	7590 06/30/2006		EXAMINER		
NIXON & VANDERHYE, PC			RAHLL, JERRY T		
	GLEBE ROAD, 11TH E N,   VA    22203	FLOOR	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		2874		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Commence		10/533,43	3	JENKINS ET AL.	•
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	
		Jerry T. Ra		2874	
7 Period for F	he MAILING DATE of this communicatio Reply	n appears on the	cover sheet with the c	correspondence addres	is
WHICHE - Extension after SIX - If NO per - Failure to Any reply	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN is of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of or reply is specified above, the maximum statutory preply within the set or extended period for reply will, by received by the Office later than three months after the latent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IG DATE OF THI FR 1.136(a). In no ever on. period will apply and will statute, cause the applic	S COMMUNICATION  nt, however, may a reply be tin  expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this commu D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·
Status					
2a) <u> </u>	esponsive to communication(s) filed on is action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ace this application is in condition for all used in accordance with the practice un	This action is no lowance except f	on-final. for formal matters, pro		rits is
Disposition	of Claims				
4a) 5)☐ Cl: 6)⊠ Cl: 7)☐ Cl:	aim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application of the above claim(s) is/are with aim(s) is/are allowed.  aim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected.  aim(s) is/are objected to.  aim(s) are subject to restriction a	hdrawn from con			
Application	Papers				
9)□ The 10)⊠ The Ap Re	e specification is objected to by the Exa e drawing(s) filed on <u>02 May 2005</u> is/are plicant may not request that any objection to placement drawing sheet(s) including the co	e: a) accepted to the drawing(s) be correction is require	e held in abeyance. See d if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.	
Priority und	er 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12)	nowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of:  ☐ Certified copies of the priority docur	ments have been ments have been priority documer ureau (PCT Rule	received. received in Applicati nts have been received 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stag	je
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of	References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)	
3) 🛛 Informatio	Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94) on Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S (s)/Mail Date <u>5/2/05</u> .	B/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate latent Application (PTO-152	)

Application/Control Number: 10/533,433 Page 2

Art Unit: 2874

### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on May 2, 2005 is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner. However, please note that US Patent No. 5,248,698 to Jenkins et al. has not been considered. There is no information available for such a patent.

## **Drawings**

2. The drawings submitted have been reviewed and determined to facilitate understanding of the invention. The drawings are accepted as submitted.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US Patent No. 7,042,631 to Smith et al.
- 5. Smith et al. describe a phase shifter including a hollow core optical waveguide and a means for varying the internal cross-sectional dimension of a portion of the hollow core waveguide (see Column 42 Lines 23-29).

Application/Control Number: 10/533,433 Page 3

Art Unit: 2874

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 8. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent No. 5,428,698 to Jenkins et al. in view of Smith et al.
- 9. Regarding Claim 1, Jenkins et a al. describes a hollow core MMI device including a multimode waveguide (20) coupled to multiple fundamental mode waveguides (18, 22, 28) and a means (36) for shifting phase in the fundamental mode waveguides (see Figures 1-2 and 10 and Columns 4-6 and 14). Jenkins et al. does not describe a means for varying the cross-sectional dimensions of a portion of the fundamental waveguides. Smith et al. describes a phase-shifting a hollow waveguide by varying a cross-sectional dimension of the waveguide (see Column 42 Lines 23-29). At the time of invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the phase shifting means of Smith et al. with the MMI device of Jenkins et al. The

Art Unit: 2874

motivation for doing so would have been reduce losses from optical signals entering the phase shifting means.

- 10. Regarding Claim 2, Jenkins et al. describe the waveguides having a square cross-section.
- 11. Regarding Claim 3, Jenkins et al. does not specifically describe the internal surfaces of the hollow core waveguides coated with a layer of reflective material. However, such coatings in hollow-core waveguides are well-known in the art. At the time of invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use such a coating with the combined Jenkins et al. and Smith et al. device. The motivation for doing so would have been to reduce attenuation of the waveguides (see Jenkins et al. at Column 9 Lines 10-15).
- 12. Regarding Claim 4, Jenkins et al. describes the device formed in a semiconductor material (see Column 4).
- 13. Regarding Claim 5, Jenkins et al. does not specifically describe the device formed in silicon. However, the formation of MMI devices in silicon is well-known in the art. At the time of invention, it would have been obvious to form the combined Jenkins et al. and Smith et al. device of silicon. The motivation for doing so would have been to utilize common and efficient manufacturing methods. Further, it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. In re Leshin, 125 USPO 416.
- 14. Regarding Claim 6, Smith et al. does not specifically describe means for varying the cross-sectional dimension of the waveguide as a MEMS actuation means. Smith et al. describes the means as a piezo electric transducer (see Column 42 Lines 24-25). However, piezo electric

Art Unit: 2874

transducers are commonly formed as MEMS actuators. At the time of invention, it would have been obvious to form cross-section varying means of Jenkins et al. and Smith et al. as a MEMS actuator. The motivation for doing so would have been to utilize common and efficient manufacturing methods.

- 15. Regarding Claim 7, Jenkins et al. describes the MMI device used in a routing device (See Column 8 Lines 6-10).
- 16. Regarding Claim 8, Smith et al. describes the means for varying the cross-section dimensions of the waveguide arranged such that the fundamental mode is varied by application of an external force (see Column 42 Lines 3-30).
- 17. Regarding Claim 9, Jenkins et al. describes an optical router including at least one fundamental mode input waveguide (18) coupled to an MMI beam splitter (20), the MMI beam splitter coupled via multiple relay waveguides (22) to an MMI beam combiner (24) having multiple fundamental mode output waveguides (28), where the relay waveguides include a means for altering the relative phases (36) between the beams propagating through the relay waveguides such that radiation from the fundamental input waveguide us selectably routed to any of the output waveguides (see Figures 1-2 and Columns 4-6). Jenkins et al. does not describe the means for altering the relative phases as a means for varying the cross-sectional dimensions of a portion of the fundamental waveguides. Smith et al. describes a phase-shifting a hollow waveguide by varying a cross-sectional dimension of the waveguide (see Column 42 Lines 23-29). At the time of invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the phase shifting means of Smith et al. with the MMI device of Jenkins et al. The

Art Unit: 2874

motivation for doing so would have been reduce losses from optical signals entering the phase shifting means.

18. Regarding Claim 10, Jenkins et al. describes an optical router including a plurality of input/output fundamental mode waveguides (202) and a plurality of relay waveguides (206), where the router is configured to receive a beam from one of the input/output waveguides and divide the received beam into a plurality of beams, via modal dispersion in a multimode waveguide region (204), into a plurality of beams coupled to the relay waveguides, where the relay waveguides include a means for altering the relative phases (36) between the beams propagating through the relay waveguides and each relay waveguide is terminated with a reflective means (208) such that radiation is returned to the multimode waveguide region and routed to one of the input/output waveguides (see Figure 10 and Columns 14). Jenkins et al. does not describe the means for altering the relative phases as a means for varying the crosssectional dimensions of a portion of the fundamental waveguides. Smith et al. describes a phaseshifting a hollow waveguide by varying a cross-sectional dimension of the waveguide (see Column 42 Lines 23-29). At the time of invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the phase shifting means of Smith et al. with the MMI device of Jenkins et al. The motivation for doing so would have been reduce losses from optical signals entering the phase shifting means.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jerry T. Rahll whose telephone number is (571) 272-2356. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th (8:30-5:30).

Application/Control Number: 10/533,433

Art Unit: 2874

Page 7

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rodney Bovernick can be reached on (571) 272-2344. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jerry T Rahll

. Tillell

Mille R. Connelly Cushera\_
MICHELLE CONNELLY CUSHIMA

PRIMARY EVALUATED

PRIMARY EVALUATED